UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNET DOCKET NO.	CONTINUATION NO.	
10/511,143	10/14/2004	Daisuke Uchida	4770-0103PUS1	1981	
	7590 04/19/200 ART KOLASCH & BJ		EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		·	LAM, CATHY FONG FONG		
FALLS CHURG	CH, VA 22040-0747 ART UNIT PAPER NUM		PAPER NUMBER		
			1775		
		<u></u>			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MOI	NTHS	04/19/2007	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/19/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

				A
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)	$ \nu$
		10/511,143	UCHIDA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Cathy Lam	1775	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported to the communication apport.	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Jac</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the state of the practice under the state of the practice under the state of the practice under the practice under the state of the practice under the practi	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•	
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat	Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-20 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The drawing(s) filed are is/are about 10 are	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er.	-	
_	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,		
a) ,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No: ed in this National Stage	
2) Notice 3) Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 1775

In view of the amendment and remarks filed on January 25, 2007, the pending claims continue to be unpatentable as following:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1, 4, 12, 17, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the phrase "wherein said flame retardant comprises a salt of a basic nitrogenous compound with phosphoric acid" is unclear, as to whether this "flame retardant" is referring to the flame retardant particles *or* is this a compound further describing one of the surface treatments, specifically the phosphorus compound? Since in claim 4, the salt of a basic nitrogenous compound with phosphoric acid seems to be referring to the surface treatment.

Applicant is required to differentiate "flame retardant" and "flame retardant particles", it is unclear whether the present invention is directed to the flame retardant particles that are surface treated with some compounds. Or a flame retardant material that comprises flame retardant particles, are being surface treated with some compounds.

It is unclear from pages 12-13 of the specification, as to whether the newly added limitation is referring to the flame retardant particles or is it a surface treatment agent which is used over the flame retardant particles. Clarification is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,143

Art Unit: 1775

Double Patenting

1. Claims 1-4, 6-25 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 4-9, 13, 32-36 and 40 of copending Application No. 10/398,284. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are materially and structurally the same.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on January 25th 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner is sustaining the prior art rejections until the above 112 rejections are resolved. Applicant is respectively asked to file a Terminal Disclaimer to obviate the Double Patenting rejection. The present application clearly falls within the same scope as 10/398,284.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1775

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cathy Lam whose telephone number is (571) 272-1538. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on (571) 272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1775

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cathy Lam

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1775

cfl April 13, 2007